

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/638,846	08/11/2003	James F. McGuckin JR.	1224 CON	4450	
7590 08/07/2006			EXAMINER		
Neil D. Gershon			SHAFFER, RICHARD R		
Rex Medical, L	P.			2.222.22.22.22	
Suite 2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2023 Summer St.			3733		
Stamford, CT 06905			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ϵ		
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/638,846	•	MCGUCKIN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Richard R. S		3733			
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' ONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 rom the mailing date of this communication. specified above, the maximum statutory period ve set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event will apply and will e e, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicatio (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<i>pril 2006</i> .					
2a) This action is	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in acc	cordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	;						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32,</u>	34-38 and 40-49 is/are pending in	the application	on.				
4a) Of the ab	ove claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from cons	ideration.				
5)	is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s)							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are objected to.	-1-1-41		1			
8)[⊠] Claim(s) <u>32,</u>	<u>34-38 and 40-49</u> are subject to res	striction and/o	or election requireme	ent.			
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specifica	tion is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/ar	re: a)⊠ acce	pted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
.,	not request that any objection to the		•	• •			
•	drawing sheet(s) including the correc	•		-	(d).		
11)∐ The oath or d	leclaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgn	nent is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
a)	Some * c)□ None of:						
	ed copies of the priority document						
	ed copies of the priority document		• •				
_ •	s of the certified copies of the prior	•	•	ed in this National Stage			
• • •	ation from the International Burea ned detailed Office action for a list	•	• • •	ed ·			
occ the attack	led detailed office action for a list	t of the octano	a copies not reserve	54 .	,		
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Notice of Draftsperso 	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	''		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: Figures 1-6B Species II: Figure 7

Species III: Figure 8 Species IV: Figures 9-10B and 12-13B

Species V: Figure 10C

The species are independent or distinct because they have unique structural arrangements different in the number of wires used, as well as locations for crimping, and the number of anchoring members utilized in a specific location.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/638,846

Art Unit: 3733

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3733

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Shaffer July 26th, 2006

Dichard Shaffer

EDUARDO C. HOBERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER